

**Administrative Determination (AD)**  
**Documentation of Land Use Plan Conformance and NEPA Adequacy (DNA)**  
U.S. Department of the Interior - Bureau of Land Management  
Anchorage Field Office

---

**A. BLM Office:** Anchorage Field Office      **Lease/Serial Case File No.:** A-028142

**Proposed Action Title/Type:**

Marathon Oil Company has proposed drilling a development gas well on Federal Lease A-028142 in the Kenai Gas Field.

**Location of Proposed Action:**

NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , Section 7, T. 4 N., R. 11 W., S.M., about 6.5 miles south of Kenai, Alaska.

**Description of the Proposed Action**

Marathon Oil Company has proposed drilling a development gas well on Federal Lease A-028142 in the Kenai Gas Field. Drilling this well is necessary to further develop the known gas reserves in this Federal Unit. The well will be cased and the casing will be cemented from the total depth of the well to the surface to ensure the protection of subsurface resources. The well will be called the KBU 32-7H and will be located in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , Section 7, T. 4 N., R. 11 W., S.M., about 6.5 miles south of Kenai, Alaska. No new surface disturbance is planned. The well will be located on Marathon's 41-7 well pad. The well will be directionally drilled to a true vertical depth of 8,754 feet and a measured depth of 11,637 feet. It will be completed in the Tyonek formation. Surface estate is owned by the Salamatof Native Association, Inc. and managed by the Cook Inlet Regional, Inc. (CIRI). Mineral estate is owned by the United States and managed by the BLM.

Drilling is proposed to begin early March 2002 and should take 4-5 weeks to complete. Water used in the drilling process will come from an existing water well on location. All drilling fluids will be contained within a closed steel tank system. The tanks contain equipment to remove the drilled cuttings from the drilling fluid. The cuttings and excess drilling fluid will be trucked to a Kenai Field Class II disposal well (KU 24-7 on Marathon's 41-18 pad). Completion fluids will be trucked to an approved disposal well (WD #1 on Marathon's 34-31 pad).

If the well is successful, the gas will be produced and processed through existing facilities on the 41-7 pad. If the well is not successful it will be plugged and abandoned in accordance with BLM regulations. Surface reclamation will occur when the 41-7 well pad is no longer needed and will be in accordance with the requirements of CIRI Native Corporation.

**Applicant (if any):** Marathon Oil Co.

**B. Conformance with the Land Use Plan (LUP) and Consistency with Related Subordinate Implementation Plans**

The BLM has not developed a land use plan for surface or subsurface oil and gas development in the Kenai Peninsula area. The subject Proposed Action, however, is nearly identical to the proposed action described in EA No. AK-040-99-022 (Kenai Gas Field Development Wells 33-6 and 42-7, August 1999). This EA can be reviewed at <http://web.ctf.ak.blm.gov/AFO/extest/ealg1999.html>. The impacts are also assumed to be nearly identical. Therefore, EA-040-99-022 provides a basis for a decision on the proposal in accordance with federal regulations (Title 43 CFR Part 1610.8(b)(1)).

**C. Identify applicable NEPA documents and other related documents that cover the Proposed Action.**

EA No. AK-040-99-022; Kenai Gas Field Development Wells 33-6 and 42-7, August 1999.

**D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria**

- 1. Is the current Proposed Action substantially the same action (or is a part of that action) as previously analyzed? Is the current Proposed Action located at a site specifically analyzed in an existing document?**

The Proposed Action is identical to that described in the Kenai Gas Field Development EA (KGF EA). The Proposed Action is located on the same pad and will be drilled to the same depths as the one described in the KGF EA.

- 2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current Proposed Action, given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?**

The alternatives analyzed in the KGF EA were; allow the drilling and deny the drilling. Since the EA was written, the environmental issues and concerns have not changed.

- 3. Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances?**

There is no new information or circumstances that would effect the validity of the existing analysis.

- 4. Do the methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA document(s) continue to be appropriate for the current Proposed Action?**

Yes.

- 5. Are the direct and indirect impacts of the current Proposed Action substantially unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA**

**document(s)? Does the existing NEPA document analyze site-specific impacts related to the current Proposed Action?**

The direct and indirect impacts identified in the KGF EA are the same as would be anticipated for the Proposed Action. The KGF EA provides a reasonable basis for making a decision on the Proposed Action.

**6. Are the cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the current Proposed Action substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)?**

Yes, they are identical.

**7. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current Proposed Action?**

The proposal for which the KGF EA was written was posted for 30 days and received no comments. The current proposal has also been posted for 30 days with no comments received.

**E. Interdisciplinary Analysis:** Identify those team members conducting or participating in the NEPA analysis and preparation of this worksheet.  
See NEPA routing sheet and specialists' worksheets.

**F. Mitigation Measures:**  
None

**G. Conclusion**  
Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the Proposed Action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.

/s/ Peter J. Ditton, Acting  
Anchorage Field Manager

03-07-02  
Date